

Report of the Commission for the Evaluation of the Habilitation Thesis and the Habilitation Dossier

The specialized commission appointed by the Order of the Rector of the Politehnica University of Timisoara no. 01/04.03.2016 for the evaluation of the habilitation thesis and the habilitation dossier submitted by habilitation candidate Nagy-György Tamás, Associate Professor at Politehnica University of Timisoara, Department of Civil Engineering and Building Services, in accordance with the Regulation for the Organization of the Habilitation Procedure of the Politehnica University of Timisoara, in the field of Civil Engineering, subsequent to the public defence of the habilitation thesis entitled "Assessment of structural retrofitting and energy efficiency through testing, modelling and monitoring" from 14.02.2016, at the Politehnica University of Timișoara, has reached the following conclusions regarding:

- a) The quality, originality and scientific and technical relevance of the candidate's achievements,
- b) The evolution of the candidate's academic, scientific and professional career, with respect to the field in which the candidate seeks to coordinate PhD studies,
- c) The ability of the candidate to coordinate research teams, and to organize and manage professional development activities,
- d) The academic, scientific and professional career directions envisaged by the candidate in the field in which he seeks to coordinate PhD studies,

1) Strong points of the habilitation thesis:

1. The thesis covers subjects of high importance for civil engineering domain
2. The candidate has carried out exceptional research work in a large number of grants and contracts
3. A good dissemination of the research results through publications and specialized conferences
4. A good ability to attract funding and to work in teams

2) Weak points of the habilitation thesis:

A confrontation between research results and the codes provisions would have been useful. However in the presentation and discussions this matter was clarified.

Consequently, the specialized commission for the evaluation of the habilitation thesis recommends (cross out what does not apply):

- a) The conferral of the habilitation certificate subsequent to the public defence of the habilitation thesis;
- b) ~~The rejection of the conferral of the habilitation certificate subsequent to the public defence of the habilitation thesis, for the following reasons:~~

~~_____~~
~~_____~~
~~_____~~
~~_____~~

Date: 14.03.2016

President of the Commission: Professor Nicolae ȚĂRANU, PhD

I agree/ ~~I do not agree~~, Signature _____

Member of the Commission: Professor György BALÁZS, PhD

I agree/ ~~I do not agree~~, Signature _____

Member of the Commission: Professor Zoltán KISS, PhD

I agree/ ~~I do not agree~~, Signature _____